



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/746,360	11/08/96	CHRISTOPHER	T 9872

SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER
400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA
GARDEN CITY NY 11530

33M1/1229

EXAMINER	
JAWORSKI, F	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3305	9

DATE MAILED: 12/29/97

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

1. ☒ The communication filed 9-25-1997 is informal/non-responsive for the reason(s) checked below and should be corrected. APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THE DATE OF THIS LETTER OR UNTIL THE EXPIRATION OF THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE SET IN THE LAST OFFICE ACTION (WHICHEVER IS LONGER) WITHIN WHICH TO CORRECT THE INFORMALITY.

- a. ☐ The amendment to claim(s) _____, filed _____, fails to comply with the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.121 and is accordingly held to be non-responsive. A supplemental paper correcting the informal portions and complying with the rule is required.
- b. ☐ The paper is unsigned. A duplicate paper or ratification, properly signed, is required.
- c. ☐ The paper is signed by _____, who is not of record. A ratification or a new power of attorney with a ratification, or a duplicate paper signed by a person of record, is required.
- d. ☐ The communication is presented on paper which will not provide a permanent copy. A permanent copy, or a request that a permanent copy be made by the Office at applicant's expense, is required, see M.P.E.P. 714.07.
- e. ☒ Other see below:

2. ☐ In accordance with applicant's request, THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE FROM THE OFFICE ACTION DATED _____ IS EXTENDED TO RUN _____ MONTH(S).
No further extension will be granted unless approved by the Commissioner. 37 C.F.R. 1.136 (b)
3. ☐ Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119 which papers have been made of record in the file.
4. ☐ Other

Additional documents appear to have been provided not listed by applicant on either 1449 (the earlier having been placed belatedly in the file after preparation of paper No. 5, i.e. the IDS filed 2-3-97; received here by the Examiner 6-20-97). Do Parker et al (IEEE Trans on Sonics & Ultrasonics Vol. SU 32 No 1 Jan, 1985) and Bahen et al (Jrnl Acoustic Soc. Am. 84(4), October 1988 pp 1483-1487). belong with this case; is there another IDS en route to which they belong; if not with which IDS (2-3-97 or 9-25-97) do they belong? Are any documents yet missing in relation thereto?

[Signature]
FRANCIS JAWORSKI
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 335